

From: Adam Chase
To: Mike Powell, Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Commissioner
Adelstein
Date: 1/17/03 1:02AM
Subject: OFFICIAL Deregulation Comment For The Record

02-277

DEREGULATION IS A BAD IDEA

MORE FOR THE FEW.

LESS FOR THE MANY.

\$\$\$???

THE PEOPLE OWN THE AIRWAVES.

-ADAM CHASE
2460 OSWEGO ST
PASADENA CA 91107

JAN 25 2003

OFFICIAL COMMENT FOR THE RECORD
JAN 25 2003

From: james e. hazard
To: Mike Powell
Date: 1/17/03 12:05AM
Subject: The proposed changes to the Media Ownership Rules

EX-100-1 OR LATE FILED

Dkt 07-071

RECEIVED

FEB 25 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Michael K. Powell

Chairman

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington D.C., 20554

Dear Mr.Powell:

I would like to express my opposition to the proposed changes of your commission to the current Media Ownership Rules.

I feel that in a truly democratic capitalist society, competition is necessary to provide the public with the best product. You proposed modifications to the Media Ownership Rules in fact does just the opposite, putting the power of the press into fewer hands. In turn, what the American public would and would not see is given to the whims of a handful of CEO's. With a good deal of the media as it is already touting war as acceptable, imagine how it could push the masses towards war if ALL the media sources claimed that war was agreed on by the masses.

In addition, the report actually issued by the FCC is more concerned with economics than by the need of the American people of independent media. The point that you did not allow a large public comment period and have barely publicized the proposed changes, I begin think you fear the American people having time to think over what exactly you propose to do. If your motives truly serve the American public, certainly you wouldn't mind publicizing your actions and allowing a longer public comment period for such drastic changes to the status quo. The need of independent media outweighs what gains could be made through your proposed modifications.

Please, think of democracy before thinking of wants the corporations involved have.

Sincerely yours,

Chris T. Jensen
8652 W. Progress Dr.
Littleton, CO 80123

EX-100-6789

FILED

the 1990s, the number of people in the world who are under 15 years of age is expected to increase from 1.1 billion to 1.5 billion. The number of people aged 65 and over is expected to increase from 250 million to 450 million. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion.

NOV 25 2003

Project Director: Dr. Robert M. Anderson
Title: *See above*

From: Texican11@aol.com
To: Mike Powell
Date: 1/17/03 12:39PM
Subject: In respectful disagreement...

RECEIVED AJP FILED

FEB 25 2003

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20541

02-277

Dear Mr. Powell,

I am a high school junior writing to tell you of my opposition to the proposed changes by your agency to the current Media Ownership Rules. I feel that this attempt to further concentrate media ownership undermines the democratic principles that our society is based upon. The media is, as you know, a powerful tool, and to place control of this weapon into the hands of a choice few would prove detrimental to the rights of the American people. We have the right to be presented with media that represents the diverse views of society, and a return to the slanted, war-mongering WWII era reporting style that represents the views of a select, privileged society would infringe upon this right.

The FCC has made it clear that the economic impact of relaxing the ownership rules far outweighs the impact that this action would have on society. I am justifiably concerned that you have scheduled only one hearing on the matter, and this fact leads me to surmise that you hope to sneak these changes past the general public. Unfortunately I fear that this plan is working, but it is my duty to self and country that drives me to comment upon this issue. I hope that you will keep in mind the right of the people to a diverse and independant media as you proceed with this law-bending sell-out.

Respectfully yours,

Katy J. Burnell
328 Teal Crescent
Virginia Beach, Va.
23456

From: Ron Wittebols
To: Mike Powell
Date: 1/17/03 4:15PM
Subject: Let's be fair!

DATE FILED

Only one FM, one AM, one TV per market. We need to end these monopolies! Because of Telecom '96, I cannot get a job in my profession...I have been replaced by a computer voice track!

You want to help out this economy? Let's get more companies involved in ownership!

Ronald Charles Wittebols
Living along the railroad tracks, unemployed, somewhere near Mount Clemens
72 Scott Blvd. Mount Clemens, MI 48043 (586) 466-5760

02277

RECEIVED

FEB 25 2003

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
FEB 25 2003

From: Willian H. Warrick III MD
To: Mike Powell
Date: 1/22/03 3:53PM
Subject: The Media Must Be Regulated

The media has the power to control the public mind and must be regulated and as many voices as possible should be available. Low power radio and public cable access are the means to that end. W.H.Warrick III MD
Gainesville FL

02-277

RECEIVED

FEB 25 2003

Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

From: Avis Worthington
To: Mike Powell
Date: 1/23/03 1:03AM
Subject: New regulations

There is a growing feeling that FCC regulations should be tightened, not loosened. Please respect the desires of a public that already rightly mistrusts the press and media. Do NOTHING to consolidate media further.

Avis Worthington
1417 Grant Street
Berkeley, CA 94703

FOR DEPT. OF JUSTICE FILED

02-277

RECEIVED

SEP 25 2003

Federal Bureau of Investigation
U.S. Department of Justice
Office of the Attorney General

From: John Barrett
To: Mike Powell
Date: 1/23/03 1:28AM
Subject: Communications?

Dear Mr. Powell,

I've been asked to write this letter in regards to the new communication ideas. I'm referring to accurate and fair news reporting. I recently read that the handling of posted media may soon be handed over to a few corporations who will decide what media to promote and what media not to promote. I feel it is important that as a nation that the truth get out. With a few people in control of the media, it will become biased, and it will never work. The idea of this country is to have freedom. One of those freedoms includes freedom of speech, which in my opinion also includes freedom of expression by speech. If people are no longer "allowed" to promote their ideas through the media, they will become unheard of, and eventually lose all contact with people. This will indeed happen if the media is controlled by a few simple corporations. That is not fair or constitutional. All that would do is create a conformed society of people being forced to listen to whatever the "media controllers" find "okay and suitable" for the citizens of this "free" nation to listen in on. It is somewhat like brain washing. The media CAN have that effect. There is no freedom in that. Mr. Powell, I don't know if you're really going to read this or not, or if someone working for you is going to or not, but everyone knows this country is losing freedoms. Why must it continue? One person can make a difference, and you are one of those people. This is a plea to help make it stop. People can not be conformed or they will fall. They must unite to be strong, not be forced into conformity and be controlled. Or be told what is okay and what isn't. No one has all the answers, so no one can decide what is okay or not. So please do not let, or promote these new media communication ideas. Thank you very much for your time, and I'd love to hear back if you ever find the time. - John Barrett